1) Have any data been collected for this study already?
   No, no data have been collected for this study yet.

2) What’s the main question being asked or hypothesis being tested in this study?
   H1: A time (5 periods) x creativity (2: predicted, actual) interaction in tasks that do not introduce the intervention.
   H2: The intervention will attenuate the time (5 periods) x creativity (2: predicted, actual) interaction relative to non-intervention.

3) Describe the key dependent variable(s) specifying how they will be measured.
   Predicted creativity: 5 predictions about creativity across the ask (pre-task)
   Actual creativity: 5 measurements of actual creativity across the task (as rated by a group of different participants)

4) How many and which conditions will participants be assigned to?
   2(intervention: yes, no) x 2(task order: charity task then bookstore task, bookstore task then charity task)

5) Specify exactly which analyses you will conduct to examine the main question/hypothesis.
   Design detail: In all 4 conditions, participants will complete two tasks. In the intervention ‘yes’ conditions, the intervention will occur before the second task.
   
   Task: We predict no theoretically relevant differences across tasks. If no interactions, we will collapse task, if significant interactions we will control for them in subsequent analyses.
   
   Interaction analyses:
   One way to assess the hypotheses is to test for a time (5 periods) x creativity (predicted, actual) interaction in each task (4 tasks). We expect this interaction to be attenuated in task 2 of the yes intervention condition. We expect the interaction to be significant in task 1 of the yes intervention condition and both tasks of the no intervention condition.
   
   A follow-up analysis is to compare the interaction between task 1 and task 2 within intervention condition. In the intervention yes condition we expect the interaction to be attenuated in task 2 versus task 1. In the intervention no condition we do not expect to see this attenuation (or if there is significant attenuation from task 1 to task 2 in the no intervention condition - supporting a simple learning from experience hypothesis -, we would expect it to be smaller than the magnitude of attenuation from task 1 to task 2 in the yes intervention condition).
   
   Prediction trend analyses:
   Another way to assess the data is to focus on the prediction trends across task/condition. It is possible that the intervention could change beliefs (i.e., predictions) as theoretically predicted but fail to alter the interaction because of idiosyncrasy/randomness in the actual creativity trends. We expect the predictions to trend negatively in all the intervention no tasks and for this to be attenuated or even flipped in the intervention yes task.

6) Describe exactly how outliers will be defined and handled, and your precise rule(s) for excluding observations.
   We will exclude participants who do not complete the survey, who fail an attention check, or who provide clearly non-serious answers in response to the idea generation tasks.

7) How many observations will be collected or what will determine sample size? No need to justify decision, but be precise about exactly how the number will be determined.
   75 per condition = 300 total. (with the expectation that task order can be collapsed, so effectively 150 per condition)

8) Anything else you would like to pre-register? (e.g., secondary analyses, variables collected for exploratory purposes, unusual analyses planned?)
   As supplementary/exploratory analyses we may make comparisons between predictions and/or actual creativity across task and condition. There are a handful of moving parts to this design, so it’s hard to anticipate what may or may not be an interesting comparison.